arXiv:1212.2674v2 [math.AP] 16 Jan 2013

ON THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR THE KDV EQUATION WITH QUASI-PERIODIC INITIAL DATA

DAVID DAMANIK AND MICHAEL GOLDSTEIN

Abstract. We consider the KdV equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u\partial_x u = 0$$

with quasi-periodic initial data whose Fourier coefficients decay exponentially. For any such data and with no limitations on the frequency vector involved (in particular for periodic data), we prove existence and uniqueness in the class of functions which have an expansion with exponentially decaying Fourier coefficients of a solution on a small interval of time, the length of which depends on the given data and the frequency vector involved. For a Diophantine frequency vector and for small quasi-periodic data (i.e., when the Fourier coefficients obey $|c(m)| \le \varepsilon \exp(-\kappa_0|m|)$ with ε being small enough, depending on $\kappa_0 > 0$ and the frequency vector), we prove global existence and uniqueness of the solution. The latter result relies on our recent work on the inverse spectral problem for the quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation [DG].

1. The Main Results

Consider the KdV equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u\partial_x u = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

with the initial data

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(n)e^{ixn\omega},$$

$\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$, $n\omega = \sum n_j\omega_j$.

Theorem A. *Assume that $|c(n)| \le B_0 \exp(-\kappa|n|)$, where $B_0, \kappa > 0$ are constants. There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for $0 \le t < t_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, one can define a function*

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(t,n)e^{ixn\omega},$$

with $|c(t,n)| \le 2B_0 \exp(-\frac{\kappa}{2}|n|)$, which obeys equation (1.1) *with the initial condition $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$.*

Furthermore, if

$$v(t,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} h(t,n)e^{ixn\omega},$$

with $|h(t,n)| \le B\exp(-\rho|n|)$ for some constants $B, \rho > 0$, obeys equation (1.1) *with the initial condition $v(0,x) = u_0(x)$, then there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $v(t,x) = u(t,x)$ for $0 \le t < t_1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

The derivation of Theorem A uses the approach by Kenig-Ponce-Vega; see [KePoVe]. Using this approach, Bourgain introduced his norm-projection method and established the existence of global solutions of the KdV equation with local-L^2 periodic data; see [Bo]. Tsugawa modified the method of Bourgain to the case when the function u_0 has the form $u_0 = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(m)\exp(im\omega)$ with Diophantine ω and with $|c(m)| \le B_0(1+|m|)^{-A}$, where A is large, and proved local well-posedness; see [Tsu].

In order to derive the existence of a global solution of (1.1) from the local existence result, in addition to local existence with given data we will need also the exponential decay estimates for the Fourier coefficients

---

The first author was partially supported by a Simons Fellowship and NSF grants DMS–0800100 and DMS–1067988. The second author was partially supported by a Guggenheim Fellowship and an NSERC grant.

in the statement of Theorem A. We therefore do not follow the method of Bourgain, but rather do an explicit combinatorial analysis of the iteration of the integral transformation defined via the approach by Kenig-Ponce-Vega, applied to the initial data. The exponential decay of the Fourier coefficients plays out perfectly with the combinatorial growth factors involved. Due to this, our derivation of local existence and uniqueness does not rely on Diophantine properties of ω.

On the other hand, if we do require a Diophantine condition, then we obtain global existence and uniqueness for sufficiently small initial data:

Theorem B. *Assume that the vector ω satisfies the following Diophantine condition:*

$$|n\omega| \geq a_0 |n|^{-b_0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu \setminus \{0\}$$

with some $0 < a_0 < 1$, $\nu - 1 < b_0 < \infty$. Given $\kappa_0 > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon^{(1)} = \varepsilon^{(1)}(\kappa_0, a_0, b_0) > 0$ such that if $|c(n)| \leq \varepsilon^{(1)} \exp(-\kappa_0 |n|)$, then for $0 \leq t < \infty$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, one can define a function

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(t,n) e^{ixn\omega}$$

with $|c(t,n)| \leq (\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/4} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{8}|n|)$, which obeys equation (1.1) with the initial condition $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$.

Moreover, let

$$v(t,x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} h(t,n) e^{ixn\omega},$$

with $|h(t,n)| \leq B \exp(-\rho |n|)$ for some constants $B, \rho > 0$. If v obeys (1.1) for $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, with the same initial condition $v(0,x) = u_0(x)$, then $v(t,x) = u(t,x)$ for $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorems A and B represent progress regarding Problem 1 in Deift's list of problems in random matrix theory and the theory of integrable systems; compare [De].

We would also like to mention a connection involving the KdV equation with highly random initial data like realizations of white noise. It was communicated by J. Quastel to the second author that there seems to be a very fine relation between the scaling limits of such solutions of the KdV equation and the scaling limits of solutions of equations such as the stochastic Burgers or Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equations.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 2.1. *Let $g(t)$ be a continuous function of $t \in [0, t_0)$, $t_0 > 0$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the following identity holds for $t \in [0, t_0)$:*

$$\partial_t \int_0^t \exp(i[(t-\tau)\alpha^3 + \alpha x]) g(\tau)\, d\tau = g(t) \exp(i\alpha x) - \partial_x^3 \int_0^t \exp(i[(t-\tau)\alpha^3 + \alpha x]) g(\tau)\, d\tau.$$

Proof. This follows readily by explicit differentiation on both sides of the identities. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $c(t,n)$, $g(t,n)$ be continuous functions of $t \in [0, t_0)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Assume that*

$$\sup_t \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} n^3 (|c(t,n)| + |g(t,n)|) < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$. Assume that the integral equations

$$c(t,n) = c(0,n) \exp(it(n\omega)^3) + \int_0^t \exp(i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3) g(\tau, n)\, d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu \tag{2.2}$$

hold for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. Then the function

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(t,n) \exp(ixn\omega)$$

obeys the differential equation

$$\partial_t u = -\partial_x^3 u + v$$

with $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$*, where*

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(0,n)\exp(ixn\omega),$$
$$v(t,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} g(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega).$$

The functions $\partial_t u, -\partial_x^3 u, v$ *are continuous throughout the domain* $t\in[0,t_0)$*,* $x\in\mathbb{R}$*.*

Proof. Using the equations (2.2) and Lemma 2.1, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} \partial_t c(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega)\\
&= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} \partial_t \left[c(0,n)\exp(it(n\omega)^3) + \int_0^t \exp(i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3) g(\tau,n)\,d\tau\right]\exp(ixn\omega)\\
&= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} \partial_t \left[c(0,n)\exp(it(n\omega)^3 + ixn\omega) + \int_0^t \exp(i[(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3 + xn\omega]) g(\tau,n)\,d\tau\right]\\
&= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} -\partial_x^3\left[c(0,n)\exp(it(n\omega)^3 + ixn\omega)\right] + g(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega) - \partial_x^3\int_0^t \exp(i[(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3 + xn\omega]) g(\tau,n)\,d\tau\\
&= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} -\partial_x^3\left[c(0,n)\exp(it(n\omega)^3 + ixn\omega) + \int_0^t \exp(i[(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3 + xn\omega]) g(\tau,n)\,d\tau\right] + g(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega)\\
&= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} -\partial_x^3\left[\left(c(0,n)\exp(it(n\omega)^3) + \int_0^t \exp(i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3) g(\tau,n)\,d\tau\right)\exp(ixn\omega)\right] + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} g(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega)\\
&= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} -\partial_x^3 c(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} g(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega)\\
&= -\partial_x^3 u + v,
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. The absolute and uniform convergence of all series involved follows from condition (2.1). □

Let $c(n)$ be a function of $n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ such that

$$|c(n)| \le B_0\exp(-\kappa_0|n|), \tag{2.3}$$

where $B_0,\kappa_0>0$ are constants. Let $\omega\in\mathbb{R}^\nu$. Set

$$c_0(t,n) = c(n)\exp(it(n\omega)^3), \tag{2.4}$$

and for $k=1,2,\dots,$

$$c_k(t,n) = c(n)\exp(it(n\omega)^3) - \frac{in\omega}{2}\int_0^t \exp(i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3)\sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu: m_1+m_2=n} c_{k-1}(\tau,m_1)c_{k-1}(\tau,m_2)\,d\tau, \tag{2.5}$$

$n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$.

Remark 2.3. *We will show by induction that for some* $t_0>0$*, the functions* $c_k(t,n)$ *are well-defined and continuous for* $0\le t\le t_0$ *and that the series in* (2.5) *converges absolutely and uniformly on the interval* $0\le t\le t_0$*.*

Set

$$\Gamma^{(1)} = \{0,1\}, \quad \Gamma^{(k)} = \{0\}\cup\Gamma^{(k-1)}\times\Gamma^{(k-1)}, \quad k=2,\dots, \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{l}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ k \ge 2, \\ \mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}) + \mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)}) + 1 & \text{if } k \ge 2,\ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathfrak{d}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)}, \\ 2 & \text{if } \gamma = 1 \in \Gamma^{(1)}, \\ \mathfrak{d}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}) + \mathfrak{d}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)}) & \text{if } k \ge 2,\ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathfrak{F}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)} \text{ or if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ k \ge 2, \\ \mathfrak{l}(\gamma)\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)}) & \text{if } k \ge 2,\ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Furthermore, set

$$\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^\nu & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)}, \\ \mathbb{Z}^\nu \times \mathbb{Z}^\nu & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 1, \\ \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})} & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^{(k,\gamma)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)}, \\ \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 1, \\ \mathfrak{B}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathfrak{B}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})} & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}, \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\mu(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_j m_j, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{m} = \{m_1, \dots, m_N\},\ m_j \in \mathbb{Z}^\lambda, \tag{2.12}$$

and for $m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}$ and $t > 0$,

(2.13)

$$|m^{(k)}| = \begin{cases} |m| & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ m^{(k)} = m \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu, \\ |m_1| + |m_2| & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 1,\ m = (m_1, m_2), \\ |m_1^{(k-1)}| + |m_2^{(k-1)}| & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}, \\ & \qquad m^{(k)} = (m_1^{(k-1)}, m_2^{(k-1)}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}, \end{cases}$$

(2.14)

$$\mathfrak{f}(m^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ m^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)}, \\ -\frac{\mu(m^{(1)})\omega}{2} & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 1,\ m^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)}, \\ -\frac{i\mu(m^{(k)})\omega}{2}\mathfrak{f}(\tau, m_1^{(k-1)})\mathfrak{f}(\tau, m_2^{(k-1)}) & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ k \geq 2, \\ & \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}, \\ & m^{(k)} = \{m_1^{(k-1)}, m_2^{(k-1)}\} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}, \end{cases}$$

(2.15)

$$\mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)},\ \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)}, \\ |\mu(m^{(k)})| & \text{if } k = 1,\ m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)},\ \gamma = 1 \in \Gamma^{(k)}, \\ |\mu(m^{(k)})|\mathfrak{P}(m_1^{(k-1)})\mathfrak{P}(m_2^{(k-1)}) & \text{if } m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)},\ k \geq 2, \\ & \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}, \\ & m^{(k)} = (m_1^{(k-1)}, m_2^{(k-1)}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}, \end{cases}$$

(2.16)

$$I(t, m^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} \exp(it(\mu(m^{(1)})\omega)^3) \quad \text{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ m^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}, \\ \int_0^t \exp(i(t-\tau)(\mu(m^{(1)})\omega)^3)\exp(i\tau(m_1\omega)^3)\exp(i\tau(m_2\omega)^3)\,d\tau \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 1,\ m^{(1)} = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)}, \\ \int_0^t \exp(i(t-\tau)(\mu(m^{(k)})\omega)^3) I(\tau, m_1^{(k-1)}) \times I(\tau, m_2^{(k-1)})\,d\tau \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ k \geq 2,\ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}, \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad m^{(k)} = \{m_1^{(k-1)}, m_2^{(k-1)}\} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.4. *The following statements hold:*

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|I(t, m^{(1)})\right| \leq 1 \quad \textit{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 0,\ m^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)}, \\ &\left|I(t, m^{(1)})\right| \leq t \quad \textit{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 1,\ m^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)}, \\ &\left|I(t, m^{(k)})\right| \leq 1 \quad \textit{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ \gamma = 0,\ m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)},\ k \geq 2, \\ &\left|I(t, m^{(k)})\right| \leq \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)} \quad \textit{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ k \geq 2,\ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathfrak{f}(m^{(1)})| = 1 \quad \textit{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 0,\ m^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)}, \\ &|\mathfrak{f}(m^{(1)})| \leq \lambda|\mu(m^{(1)})| \quad \textit{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 1,\ m^{(1)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)}, \\ &|\mathfrak{f}(m^{(k)})| = 1 \quad \textit{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)},\ k \geq 2,\ m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}, \\ &|\mathfrak{f}(m^{(k)})| \leq |\omega|^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}\mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)}) \quad \textit{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)},\ m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Using the definitions (2.4)–(2.16) and induction, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|I(t,m^{(1)})\big| &\le 1 \quad \text{if } \gamma\in\Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma=0,\ m^{(1)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)},\\
\big|I(t,m^{(1)})\big| &\le t \quad \text{if } \gamma\in\Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma=1,\ m^{(1)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)},\\
\big|I(t,m^{(k)})\big| &\le 1 \quad \text{if } \gamma=0\in\Gamma^{(k)},\ \gamma=0,\ m^{(k)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)},\ k\ge 2,\\
\big|I(t,m^{(k)})\big| &\le \int_0^t \big|I^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})}(\tau,m_1^{(k-1)})\big|\big|I(\tau,m_2^{(k-1)})\big|\,d\tau\\
&\le \int_0^t \frac{\tau^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})}\frac{\tau^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}\,d\tau \le \int_0^t \frac{\tau^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})+\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}\,d\tau\\
&= \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})+\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})+1}}{(\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})+\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})+1)\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}\\
&= \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)} \qquad \text{if } \gamma\in\Gamma^{(k)},\ k\ge 2,\ \gamma=(\gamma_1^{(k-1)},\gamma_2^{(k-1)})\in\Gamma^{(k-1)}\times\Gamma^{(k-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

(2) Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{f}(m^{(1)})| &= 1 \quad \text{if } \gamma\in\Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma=0,\ m^{(1)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)},\\
|\mathfrak{f}(m^{(1)})| &\le |\omega||\mu(m^{(1)})| \quad \text{if } \gamma\in\Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma=1,\ m^{(1)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)},\\
\mathfrak{f}(m^{(k)}) &= 1 \quad \text{if } \gamma=0\in\Gamma^{(k)},\ k\ge 2,\ m^{(k)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)},\\
|\mathfrak{f}(m^{(k)})| &\le |\omega||\mu(m^{(k)})||\mathfrak{f}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})}(\tau,m_1^{(k-1)})||\mathfrak{f}(\tau,m_2^{(k-1)})|\\
&\le |\omega||\mu(m^{(k)})||\omega|^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})}\mathfrak{P}(m_1^{(k-1)})|\omega|^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}\mathfrak{P}(m_2^{(k-1)}) = |\omega|^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}\mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)})\\
&\qquad \text{if } \gamma\in\Gamma^{(k)},\ k\ge 2,\ \gamma=(\gamma_1^{(k-1)},\gamma_2^{(k-1)})\in\Gamma^{(k-1)}\times\Gamma^{(k-1)},\\
&\qquad m^{(k)}=\{m_1^{(k-1)},m_2^{(k-1)}\}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})}\times\mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})},
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Definition 2.5. 1. *We define inductively the isomorphism* $\phi_\gamma^{(k)}:\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}\to\prod_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}\mathbb{Z}^\nu$ *by setting*

$$\phi_\gamma^{(k)}(m^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} m & \textit{if } \gamma=0\in\Gamma^{(k)}\ m^{(k)}=m\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu=\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)},\\ (m_1,m_2) & \textit{if } k=1,\ \gamma=1\in\Gamma^{(1)},\ m^{(k)}=(m_1,m_2)\in\mathfrak{M}^{(1,\gamma)},\\ (\phi^{(k-1)}_{\gamma_1^{(k-1)}}(m_1^{(k-1)}),\phi^{(k-1)}_{\gamma_2^{(k-1)}})(m_2^{(k-1)}) & \textit{if } k\ge 2,\ m^{(k)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)},\\ & \ \gamma=(\gamma_1^{(k-1)},\gamma_2^{(k-1)})\in\Gamma^{(k-1)}\times\Gamma^{(k-1)},\\ & m^{(k)}=(m_1^{(k-1)},m_2^{(k-1)})\in\mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})}\times\mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}. \end{cases}$$

We also define inductively the isomorphism $\chi_\gamma^{(k)}:\mathfrak{B}^{(k,\gamma)}\to\prod_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}\mathbb{Z}$ *by setting*

$$\chi_\gamma^{(k)}(\alpha^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \textit{if } \gamma=0\in\Gamma^{(k)}\ \alpha^{(k)}=\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}=\mathfrak{B}^{(k,\gamma)},\\ (\alpha_1,\alpha_2) & \textit{if } k=1,\ \gamma=1\in\Gamma^{(1)},\ \kappa^{(k)}=(\kappa_1,\kappa_2)\in\mathfrak{B}^{(1,\gamma)},\\ (\chi^{(k-1)}_{\gamma_1^{(k-1)}}(\alpha_1^{(k-1)}),\chi^{(k-1)}_{\gamma_2^{(k-1)}}(\alpha_2^{(k-1)})) & \textit{if } \kappa^{(k)}\in\mathfrak{K}^{(k,\gamma)},\quad k\ge 2,\\ & \ \gamma=(\gamma_1^{(k-1)},\gamma_2^{(k-1)})\in\Gamma^{(k-1)}\times\Gamma^{(k-1)},\\ & \alpha^{(k)}=(\alpha_1^{(k-1)},\alpha_2^{(k-1)})\in\mathfrak{B}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})}\times\mathfrak{B}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}. \end{cases}$$

These isomorphisms induce an ordering of the components of the corresponding vector. For that matter, given $1 \le i \le \mathfrak{d}(\gamma)$, *we denote by* $(m^{(k)})_i$ *the* i*-th component of* $\phi_\gamma^{(k)}(m^{(k)}) \in \prod_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. *Note that for* $m^{(k)} = (m_1^{(k-1)}, m_2^{(k-1)}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}$, *this ordering is lexicographical, that is,* $(m^{(k)})_i = (m_1^{(k-1)})_i$ *if* $1 \le i \le \mathfrak{d}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})$, $(m^{(k)})_{i+\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} = (m_2^{(k-1)})_i$ *for* $1 \le i \le \mathfrak{d}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)})$.

2. *This ordering helps us also to introduce the following sets,*

$$\mathbb{I}^{(k,\gamma)} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} : \sum_j \alpha_j = 1,\, \alpha_j \ge 0\} \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)} = \begin{cases} \{0 \in \mathbb{Z}\} & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)}, \\ \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1,\, \alpha_j \ge 0\} & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(1)},\ \gamma = 1, \\ \mathbb{A}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathbb{A}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})} + \mathbb{I}^{(k,\gamma)} & \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)}, \\ & \ \gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)}$, $m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}$. *Then,*

$$\mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)}) \le \sum_{\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{1 \le i \le \mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)}} \prod |(m^{(k)})_i|^{\alpha_i}. \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. The statement obviously holds for $\gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)}$ since both sides in (2.19) are equal to 1 in this case. Let $k = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, $m^{(1)} = (m_1, m_2)$. Then the right-hand side in (2.19) is equal $|m_1| + |m_2|$. By definition, $\mathfrak{P}(m^{(1)}) = |m_1 + m_2| \le |m_1| + |m_2|$. In particular, (2.19) holds for $k = 1$.

Let $k \ge 2$. Assume that the statement holds for any $\gamma' \in \Gamma^{(k')}$ with $k' < k$. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}$, $m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}$, $m^{(k)} = (m_1^{(k-1)}, m_2^{(k-1)}) \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_1^{(k-1)})} \times \mathfrak{M}^{(k-1,\gamma_2^{(k-1)})}$. Using this assumption, the inductive definition of $\mathfrak{P}(m^{(1)})$ in (2.15) and $\mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)}$ in (2.18), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)}) &= \left| \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} (m_j^{(k-1)})_i \right| \prod_j \mathfrak{P}(m_j^{(k-1)}) \\ &\le \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} |(m_j^{(k-1)})_i| \prod_j \sum_{\alpha_j = (\alpha_{i,j})_{1 \le i \le \mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k-1,\gamma_j^{(k-1)})}} \prod |(m_j^{(k-1)})_i|^{\alpha_{i,j}} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{1 \le i \le \mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma^{(k)})}} \prod |(m^{(k)})_i|^{\alpha_i}, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. ☐

We need the following elementary calculus lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *For every* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu)$ *with* $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_j \ge 0$, *we have*

$$\sum_{m = (m_1, \dots, m_\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j} \exp(-\kappa |m_j|) \le \alpha! (C_0 \kappa^{-1})^{|\alpha| \nu},$$

where C_0 *is an absolute constant.*

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $\gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)}$.

1. *For* $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)}$, *we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \alpha_i = \mathfrak{l}(\gamma).$$

2.

$$\sum_{m^{(k)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}} \exp(-\kappa|m^{(k)}|)\mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)}) \le (C_0^\nu\kappa^{-\nu})^{\ell(\gamma)} \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_i)_{1\le i\le\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}:|\alpha|=\ell(\gamma)} \prod \alpha_i!\,. \tag{2.20}$$

3.

$$\sum_{m^{(k)}\in\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}:\mu(m^{(k)})=n} \exp(-\kappa|m^{(k)}|)\mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)}) \le (2^\nu C_0^\nu\kappa^{-\nu})^{\ell(\gamma)} \exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa|n|}{2}\Big) \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_i)_{1\le i\le\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}:|\alpha|=\ell(\gamma)} \prod \alpha_i!\,. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. 1. The statement holds if $\gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)}$, since both sides in the identity are equal to 0 in this case. For $k = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, the statement holds since both sides in the identity are equal to 1 in this case. So, in particular, the statement holds for $k = 1$. Let $k \ge 2$. Assume that the statement holds for any $\gamma' \in \Gamma^{(k')}$ with $k' < k$ and any $\alpha' \in \mathbb{A}^{(k',\gamma')}$. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}$, $\alpha = (\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}) + \beta$, $\alpha^{(j)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k-1,\gamma_j^{(k-1)})}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{I}^{(k,\gamma)}$. Using the inductive assumption, one obtains

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1,2} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} \alpha_i^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \beta_i = \sum_{j=1,2} \mathfrak{l}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)}) + 1 = \mathfrak{l}(\gamma).$$

2. This follows from Lemma 2.6 combined with Lemma 2.7 and part 1 of the current lemma.

3. Let $\mu(m^{(k)}) = n$. Then $|m^{(k)}| \ge |n|$. Note that for $\mu(m^{(k)}) = n$, one has $\exp(-\kappa|m^{(k)}|) \le \exp(-\frac{\kappa|n|}{2})\exp(-\frac{\kappa|m^{(k)}|}{2})$. Applying part 2 of the current lemma, one obtains the estimate. □

Lemma 2.9. *For $0 < t \le 1/8$, we have*

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma^{(k)}} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)} \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_i)_{1\le i\le\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}\in\mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)}} \prod \alpha_i! \le 2. \tag{2.22}$$

Proof. For $\gamma = 0 \in \Gamma^{(k)}$, we have $\mathfrak{l}(\gamma) = 0$, $\mathfrak{F}(\gamma) = 1$, $\mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and hence

$$\frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)} \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_i)_{1\le i\le\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}\in\mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)}} \prod \alpha_i! = 1. \tag{2.23}$$

For $k = 1$, $\gamma = 1$, we have $\mathfrak{l}(\gamma) = 1$, $\mathfrak{F}(\gamma) = 1$, $\mathbb{A}^{(1,\gamma)} = \{(1,0), (0,1) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$, and hence

$$\frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)} \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_i)_{1\le i\le\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}\in\mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)}} \prod \alpha_i! = 2t.$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma^{(1)}} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)} \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_i)_{1\le i\le\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)}\in\mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)}} \prod \alpha_i! \le 1 + 2t < 2,$$

and therefore (2.22) holds when $k = 1$.

Let $k \ge 2$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}^{(k,\gamma)}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \alpha_i! \\
&= \sum_{(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}} \frac{t}{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}) + \mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)}) + 1} \prod_{j=1,2} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} \\
&\qquad \sum_{\alpha = (\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}) + \beta,\, \alpha^{(j)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k-1, \gamma_j^{(k-1)})},\, \beta \in \mathbb{I}^{(k, (\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}))}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \alpha_i! \\
&\le \sum_{(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}} \frac{t}{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}) + \mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)}) + 1} \sum_{\alpha^{(j)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k-1, \gamma_j^{(k-1)})},\, j=1,2} \\
&\qquad \sum_{j_0} \sum_{i_0=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_{j_0}^{(k-1)})} \prod_{j=1,2} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} ((\alpha^{(j)})_i + \delta_{i,i_0} \delta_{j,j_0})! \\
&= \sum_{(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}} \frac{t}{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}) + \mathfrak{l}(\gamma_2^{(k-1)}) + 1} \sum_{\alpha^{(j)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k-1, \gamma_j^{(k-1)})},\, j=1,2} \\
&\qquad \sum_{j_0} \sum_{i_0=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_{j_0}^{(k-1)})} ((\alpha^{(j_0)})_{i_0} + 1) \prod_{j=1,2} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} ((\alpha^{(j)})_i)! \\
&= \sum_{(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}} \frac{t}{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}) + \mathfrak{l}(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}) + 1} \sum_{\alpha^{(j)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k-1, \gamma_j^{(k-1)})},\, j=1,2} \\
&\qquad \sum_{j_0} (\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_{j_0}^{(k-1)}) + \mathfrak{d}(\gamma_{j_0}^{(k-1)})) \prod_{j=1,2} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} ((\alpha^{(j)})_i)! \\
&\le 2t \sum_{(\gamma_1^{(k-1)}, \gamma_2^{(k-1)}) \in \Gamma^{(k-1)} \times \Gamma^{(k-1)}} \sum_{\alpha^{(j)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k-1, \gamma_j^{(k-1)})},\, j=1,2} \prod_{j=1,2} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} ((\alpha^{(j)})_i)! \\
&= 2t \prod_{j=1,2} \frac{t^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} \sum_{\gamma_j^{(k-1)} \in \Gamma^{(k-1)}} \sum_{\alpha^{(j)} \in \mathbb{A}^{(k-1, \gamma_j^{(k-1)})}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma_j^{(k-1)})} ((\alpha^{(j)})_i)! \\
&\le 8t.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (2.23), we obtain (2.22) when $k \ge 2$. ☐

Corollary 2.10. *For $0 \le t \le \kappa^\nu / 8 B_0 C_0^\nu |\omega|$, we have*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)}} \sum_{m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)} : \mu(m^{(k)}) = n} B_0^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \exp(-\kappa |m^{(k)}|) \mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)}) \frac{(|\omega| t)^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)} \le 2.$$

Proof. The statement follows from part 2 of Lemma 2.8 combined with Lemma 2.9. ☐

Corollary 2.11. *The following statements hold:*

(1) *Set*

$$\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{m}) = \prod_j c(m_j), \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{m} = \{m_1, \dots, m_N\},\ m_j \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu.$$

Then, for $m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}$, *we have*

$$|\mathfrak{C}(m^{(k)})| \le B_0^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \exp(-\kappa |m^{(k)}|).$$

(2) *The functions* $c_k(t,n)$ *are well-defined and continuous for* $0 \le t \le \kappa^\nu/(8B_0 C_0^\nu |\omega|)$ *and the following identities hold*

$$c_k(t,n) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)}} \sum_{m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)} : \mu(m^{(k)}) = n} \mathfrak{C}(m^{(k)}) \mathfrak{f}^{(k,\gamma)}(m^{(k)}) I^{(k,\gamma)}(t, m^{(k)}).$$

All the series involved converge absolutely and uniformly on the interval $0 \le t \le \kappa^\nu/8B_0 C_0^\nu |\omega|$.

(3) *For* $0 < t < \kappa^\nu/(8B_0 2^\nu C_0^\nu |\omega|)$, *we have*

$$|c_k(t,n)| \le 2B_0 \exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa |n|}{2}\Big).$$

Proof. (1) The statement follows from the definition of $\mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)}$ and $\mathfrak{C}(m^{(k)})$, and the decay assumption (2.3).

(2) The statement follows from the definitions (2.4)–(2.16). The absolute and uniform convergence of all the series involved is due to Corollary 2.10.

(3) Due to part 2, we have

$$|c_k(t,n)| \le \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{(k)}} \sum_{m^{(k)} \in \mathfrak{M}^{(k,\gamma)} : \mu(m^{(k)}) = n} B_0^{\mathfrak{d}(\gamma)} \exp(-\kappa |m^{(k)}|) \mathfrak{P}(m^{(k)}) \frac{(|\omega| t)^{\mathfrak{l}(\gamma)}}{\mathfrak{F}(\gamma)}.$$

Combining this with part 3 of Lemma 2.8 and with Lemma 2.9, one obtains the estimate. □

Let

$$\mathbb{I}^{(k)} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} : \sum_j \alpha_j = 1, \quad \alpha_j \ge 0\},$$

$$\mathbb{B}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1, \quad \alpha_j \ge 0\}, & k = 1, \\ \mathbb{B}^{(k-1)} \times \{0 \in \mathbb{Z}\} + \mathbb{I}^{(k)}, & k \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Notice that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}^{(k)}$, we have

$$\sum_j \alpha_j = k. \tag{2.24}$$

Lemma 2.12. *For* $0 < t < \kappa^\nu/(8B_0 2^\nu C_0^\nu |\omega|)$, *we have*

$$|c_k(t,n) - c_{k-1}(t,n)| \le \frac{B_0^{k+1} (2|\omega| t)^k}{k!} \sum_{m = (m_1, \dots, m_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu} : \sum_j m_j = n} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{B}^{(k)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j} \exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{2} |m_j|\Big). \tag{2.25}$$

Proof. Recall that $c_0(t,n) := c(n) \exp(it(n\omega)^3)$, and for $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$c_k(t,n) = c(n) \exp(it(n\omega)^3) - \frac{in\omega}{2} \int_0^t \exp(i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3) \sum_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1 + m_2 = n} c_{k-1}(\tau, m_1) c_{k-1}(\tau, m_2)\, d\tau,$$

$n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_1(t,n) - c_0(t,n)| &\le \frac{|n||\omega|}{2} \int_0^t \sum_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1 + m_2 = n} |c_0(\tau, m_1)||c_0(\tau, m_2)|\, d\tau \\ &\le \frac{B_0^2 t |\omega|}{2} \sum_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1 + m_2 = n} \Big| \sum_j m_j \Big| \exp(-\kappa(|m_1| + |m_2|)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2.25) holds for $k = 1$.

Let $k \ge 2$. Assume the estimate holds for any $1 \le k' \le k-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}|c_k(t,n) - c_{k-1}(t,n)| &\le \frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n} |c_{k-1}(\tau,m_1)c_{k-1}(\tau,m_2) - c_{k-2}(\tau,m_1)c_{k-2}(\tau,m_2)|\,d\tau \\ &\le \frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n} |c_{k-1}(\tau,m_1) - c_{k-2}(\tau,m_1)||c_{k-1}(\tau,m_2)|\,d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n} |c_{k-1}(\tau,m_2) - c_{k-2}(\tau,m_2)||c_{k-2}(\tau,m_1)|\,d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Using the inductive assumption and the estimate from Corollary 2.11, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : n_1+n_2=n} |c_{k-1}(\tau,n_1) - c_{k-2}(\tau,n_1)||c_{k-1}(\tau,n_2)|\,d\tau \\ &\quad\le \frac{|\omega|}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : n_1+n_2=n} \frac{B_0^k (2|\omega|\tau)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^{k\nu}:\sum_j m_j = n_1} \Big|\Big(\sum_j m_j\Big) + n_2\Big| \times \\ &\qquad \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k-1)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j} \exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{2}|m_j|\Big)\Big(2B_0\exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{2}|n_2|\Big)\Big)\,d\tau \\ &\quad\le \frac{2^{k-1}B_0^{k+1}(|\omega|t)^k}{k!} \sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_{k+1})\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu}:\sum_j m_j = n} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j}\exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{2}|m_j|\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : n_1+n_2=n} |c_{k-1}(\tau,n_2) - c_{k-2}(\tau,n_2)||c_{k-2}(\tau,n_1)|\,d\tau \\ &\quad\le \frac{2^{k-1}B_0^{k+1}(|\omega|t)^k}{k!} \sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_{k+1})\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu}:\sum_j m_j = n} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j}\exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{2}|m_j|\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Putting the three estimates together, the assertion follows. ☐

Corollary 2.13. *With the constant C_0 from Lemma 2.7, we have for $0 < t < \kappa^\nu/(8B_0 2^\nu C_0^\nu|\omega|)$,*

$$|c_k(t,n) - c_{k-1}(t,n)| \le \frac{B_0^{k+1}(4^{\nu+1}C_0\kappa^{-\nu}|\omega|t)^k}{k!}\exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{4}|n|\Big)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}}\prod_j \alpha_j!\,. \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. Due to Lemma 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|c_k(t,n) - c_{k-1}(t,n)| &\le \frac{B_0^{k+1}(2|\omega|t)^k}{k!} \sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_{k+1})\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu}:\sum_j m_j = n} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j}\exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{2}|m_j|\Big) \\ &\le \frac{B_0^{k+1}(2|\omega|t)^k}{k!}\exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{4}|n|\Big) \sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_{k+1})\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu}:\sum_j m_j = n} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j}\exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{4}|m_j|\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with Lemma 2.7 and with (2.24), we obtain the statement. ☐

We now need to estimate the sum on the right-hand side of (2.26). For a combinatorial argument related to this task, we need to introduce the following mappings. Let N, ℓ be arbitrary. Set $\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell) = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N : \alpha_j \ge 0,\ \ \sum_j \alpha_j = \ell\}$. Given $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ with $\alpha_j \ge 0$, set $\mathcal{S}(\alpha) = \{i : \alpha_i > 0\}$, $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) = \{j : \alpha_j = \min_{\mathcal{S}(\alpha)} \alpha_i\}$. We enumerate $\mathcal{E}(\alpha)$ in increasing order: $\mathcal{E}(\alpha) = \{j_1(\alpha) < \cdots\}$. Furthermore, set $\Phi(\alpha) = (\phi_1(\alpha),\dots,\phi_N(\alpha))$, where $\phi_j(\alpha) = \alpha_j$ if $j \ne j_1(\alpha)$, $\phi_{j_1(\alpha)}(\alpha) = \alpha_{j_1(\alpha)} - 1$.

Lemma 2.14. 0. *Φ maps $\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)$ into $\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell-1)$.*

1. $\phi_{j_1(\alpha)}(\alpha) < \min_{j:\phi_j(\alpha)>0,\, j\neq j_1(\alpha)} \phi_j(\alpha)$.
2. *If $\Phi(\alpha)=\Phi(\alpha')$, then $\alpha_j=\alpha'_j$ for $j\notin\{j_1(\alpha),j_1(\alpha')\}$.*
3. *If $\Phi(\alpha)=\Phi(\alpha')$ and $j_1(\alpha)=j_1(\alpha')$, then $\alpha=\alpha'$.*
4. *For any β, $\operatorname{card}(\Phi^{-1}(\beta))\le N$.*
5. *If $\Phi(\alpha)=\Phi(\alpha')$ and $\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}>1$, $\alpha'_{j_1(\alpha')}>1$, then $\alpha=\alpha'$.*

Proof. 0. This follows from the definition of the map Φ.

1. We have

$$\phi_{j_1(\alpha)}(\alpha)=\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}-1=\Big(\min_{j:\alpha_j>0}\alpha_j\Big)-1\le\Big(\min_{j:\alpha_j>0,\,j\neq j_1(\alpha)}\alpha_j\Big)-1$$
$$=\min_{j:\phi_j(\alpha)>0,\,j\neq j_1(\alpha)}\phi_j(\alpha)-1<\min_{j:\phi_j(\alpha)>0,\,j\neq j_1(\alpha)}\phi_j(\alpha).$$

2. This follows from the definition of Φ.

3. Assume $\Phi(\alpha)=\Phi(\alpha')$ and $j_1(\alpha)=j_1(\alpha')$. Due to part (2) of the current lemma, we have $\alpha_j=\alpha'_j$ for $j\notin\{j_1(\alpha)\}$. Furthermore, $\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}=\phi_{j_1(\alpha)}(\alpha)+1=\phi_{j_1(\alpha')}(\alpha')+1=\alpha_{j_1(\alpha')}$. Thus, $\alpha=\alpha'$.

4. This follows from part 3 of the current lemma.

5. Assume $\Phi(\alpha)=\Phi(\alpha')=:\beta$ and $\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}>1$, $\alpha'_{j_1(\alpha')}>1$. Assume that $j_1(\alpha)\neq j_1(\alpha')$. Then due to part 1 of the current lemma, we have $\beta_{j_1(\alpha)}<\min_{j:\beta_j>0,\,j\neq j_1(\alpha)}\beta_j$. Note that $\beta_{j_1(\alpha')}=\alpha'_{j_1(\alpha')}-1>0$. Since we assume $j_1(\alpha)\neq j_1(\alpha')$, we conclude that $\beta_{j_1(\alpha)}<\beta_{j_1(\alpha')}$. Similarly, $\beta_{j_1(\alpha')}<\beta_{j_1(\alpha)}$, which is obviously impossible. Thus, $j_1(\alpha)=j_1(\alpha')$. Now the statement follows from part 3 of the current lemma. ☐

Lemma 2.15. 1. *For any $\ell\le N$, we have*

$$\sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)}\prod_i\alpha_i!\le(\ell+N)\sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell-1)}\prod_i\alpha_i!\,.$$

2. *We have*

$$\sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)\in\mathfrak{A}_N(N)}\prod_i\alpha_i!<(2N)^N.$$

Proof. 1. Note that

$$\sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)}\prod_i\alpha_i!=\sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)}\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}(\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}-1)!\prod_{i\neq j_1(\alpha)}\alpha_i!$$
$$=\sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)}\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}\prod_i\phi_i(\alpha)!\,.$$

Recall that due to Lemma 2.14, Φ maps $\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)$ into $\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell-1)$. Let $\mathfrak{A}'_N(\ell)=\{\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell):\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}>1\}$, $\mathfrak{A}''_N(\ell)=\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)\setminus\mathfrak{A}'_N(\ell)$. Recall also that due to Lemma 2.14, Φ is injective on $\mathfrak{A}'_N(\ell)$ and $\operatorname{card}(\Phi^{-1}(\beta))\le N$ for any β. Hence, due to the identity above, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)}\prod_i\alpha_i!=\sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_N)\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell)}\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}\prod_i\phi_i(\alpha)!$$
$$=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}'_N(\ell)}\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}\prod_i\phi_i(\alpha)!+\sum_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}''_N(\ell)}\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}\prod_i\phi_i(\alpha)!$$
$$\le\sum_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}'_N(\ell)}\alpha_{j_1(\alpha)}\prod_i\phi_i(\alpha)!+\sum_{\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}''_N(\ell)}\prod_i\phi_i(\alpha)!$$
$$\le\ell\sum_{\beta\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell-1)}\prod_i\beta_i!+N\sum_{\beta\in\mathfrak{A}_N(\ell-1)}\prod_i\beta_i!\,,$$

as claimed.

2. This follows from part 1. ☐

Corollary 2.16. *With the constant* C_0 *from Lemma 2.7, we have for* $0 < t < \kappa^\nu/(8B_0 2^\nu C_0^\nu|\omega|)$,

$$|c_k(t,n) - c_{k-1}(t,n)| \le B_0^{k+1}(4^{\nu+1}C_1\kappa^{-\nu}|\omega|t)^k \exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{4}|n|\Big),$$

where $C_1 > C_0$ *is an absolute constant.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.13, combined with part 2 of Lemma 2.15 and with Stirling's formula. ☐

3. Proof of the Main Results

We start with the proof of uniqueness of the solution. The following lemma and its proof are well known.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $h(n) \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. *Assume that*

(a) $\alpha_m \ne \alpha_n$, *unless* $m = n$,

(b) $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} |h(n)| < \infty$.

If

$$\sum_n h(n)\, e^{i\alpha_n x} = 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, *then* $h(n) = 0$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$.

Proof. One has

$$\lim_{A\to\infty} \frac{1}{2A}\int_{-A}^{A} e^{i\beta x}\, dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta \ne 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$,

$$0 = \lim_{A\to\infty}\frac{1}{2A}\int_{-A}^{A}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} h(n)e^{i\alpha_n x}e^{-i\alpha_m x}\, dx = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} h(n)\lim_{A\to\infty}\frac{1}{2A}\int_{-A}^{A} e^{i(\alpha_n-\alpha_m)x}\, dx = h(m).$$

The correctness of the calculation here is due to conditions (a) and (b). ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that* v *obeys the KdV equation*

$$\partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v\partial_x v = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Assume also that the following expansion holds,

$$v(t,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} h(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega),$$

where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ *is such that* $\omega n \ne 0$ *for every* $n \ne 0$, *and the Fourier coefficients* $h(t,n)$ *obey*

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} |h(t,n)||n|^3 < \infty.$$

Then, the following integral equations hold:

$$h(t,n) = h(0,n)e^{it(n\omega)^3} - \sum_m \int_0^t h(\tau,n-m)h(\tau,m)(im\omega)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\, d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu.$$

Proof. We have

$$\partial_x^\alpha v = \sum_n h(t,n)(in\omega)^\alpha e^{ixn\omega}, \quad \alpha \le 3,$$

$$v\partial_x v = \sum_n \big[\sum_m h(t,n-m)h(t,m)(im\omega)\big]e^{ixn\omega},$$

$$\sum_n |h(t,n)(in\omega)^3| < \infty,$$

$$\sum_n \big| \sum_m h(t,n-m)h(t,m)(im\omega) \big| < \infty.$$

Using equation (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n h(t,n)e^{ixn\omega} &= v(t,x)\\ &= v(0,x) - \int_0^t [\partial_x^3 v(x,\tau) + v(x,\tau)\partial_x v(x,\tau)]\,d\tau\\ &= \sum_n h(0,n)e^{ixn\omega} - \sum_n \Big[\int_0^t h(\tau,n)(in\omega)^3\,d\tau\Big]e^{ixn\omega}\\ &\quad - \sum_n \Big[\sum_m \int_0^t h(\tau,n-m)h(\tau,m)(im\omega)\,d\tau\Big]e^{ixn\omega}.\end{aligned}$$

Due to Lemma 3.1 and the assumption $\omega n \neq 0$ for $n \neq 0$, this implies

$$h(t,n) = h(0,n) - \int_0^t h(\tau,n)(in\omega)^3\,d\tau - \sum_m \int_0^t h(\tau,n-m)h(\tau,m)(im\omega)\,d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{3.2}$$

It follows from (3.2) that $\partial_t h(t,n)$ exist and obey

$$\partial_\tau h(\tau,n) = -h(\tau,n)(in\omega)^3 - \sum_m h(\tau,n-m)h(\tau,m)(im\omega), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu. \tag{3.3}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.3) by $e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}$ and integrating from $\tau = 0$ to $\tau = t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \partial_\tau h(\tau,n)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau &= -\int_0^t h(\tau,n)(in\omega)^3 e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau\\ &\quad - \sum_m \int_0^t h(\tau,n-m)h(\tau,m)(im\omega)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu.\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Integration by parts on the left-hand side gives

$$\int_0^t \partial_\tau h(\tau,n)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau = h(\tau,n)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\Big|_{\tau=0}^{\tau=t} + \int_0^t h(\tau,n)(i(n\omega)^3)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau. \tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.4) with (3.5) (and noting that $(in\omega)^3 = -i(n\omega)^3$), we obtain

$$h(t,n) - h(0,n)e^{it(n\omega)^3} = -\sum_m \int_0^t h(\tau,n-m)h(\tau,m)(im\omega)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu,$$

as claimed. ☐

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $c(t,n)$, $h(t,n)$ *be functions of* $t \in [0,t_0)$, $t_0 > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, *which obey* $|c(t,n)|, |h(t,n)| \le B\exp(-\rho|n|)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, $B, \rho > 0$. *Assume that the following equations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}c(t,n) &= c(0,n)e^{it(n\omega)^3} - \sum_m \int_0^t c(\tau,n-m)c(\tau,m)(im\omega)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu,\\ h(t,n) &= h(0,n)e^{it(n\omega)^3} - \sum_m \int_0^t h(\tau,n-m)h(\tau,m)(im\omega)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu.\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Assume also that $h(0,n) = c(0,n)$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$. *Then, for* $k = 1, 2, \dots,$ *we have*

$$|h(t,n) - c(t,n)| \le \frac{B^{k+1}(|\omega|\tau)^k}{k!} \sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu}:\sum_j m_j = n} \;\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j} \exp\Big(-\rho|m_j|\Big), \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathbb{B}^{(k)}$ *is defined as in Lemma 2.12.*

Proof. It is convenient to rewrite (3.6) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} c(t,n) &= c(0,n)e^{it(n\omega)^3} - \frac{in\omega}{2}\sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n}\int_0^t c(\tau,m_1)c(\tau,m_2)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau, \quad n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu, \\ h(t,n) &= h(0,n)e^{it(n\omega)^3} - \frac{in\omega}{2}\sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n}\int_0^t h(\tau,m_1)h(\tau,m_2)e^{i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3}\,d\tau, \quad n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Subtracting in (3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t,n)-c(t,n)| &\le \frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n}\int_0^t |h(\tau,m_1)h(\tau,m_2)-c(\tau,m_1)c(\tau,m_2)|\,d\tau \\ &\le B^2 t|\omega|\sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n}\Big|\sum_j m_j\Big|\exp(-\rho(|m_1|+|m_2|)). \end{aligned}$$

So, (3.7) holds for $k=1$.

Assume (3.7) holds for $k-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t,n)-c(t,n)| &\le \frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n}\int_0^t |h(\tau,m_1)h(\tau,m_2)-c(\tau,m_1)c(\tau,m_2)|\,d\tau \\ \le \frac{|n||\omega|}{2}&\sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : m_1+m_2=n}\int_0^t [|h(\tau,m_1)-c(\tau,m_1)||h(\tau,m_2)| + |h(\tau,m_2)-c(\tau,m_2)||c(\tau,m_1)|]\,d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inductive assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : n_1+n_2=n}\int_0^t |h(\tau,n_1)-c(\tau,n_1)||h(\tau,n_2)|\,d\tau \\ &\quad\le \frac{|\omega|}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : n_1+n_2=n}\frac{B^k(|\omega|\tau)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_k)\in\mathbb{Z}^{k\nu} : \sum_j m_j=n_1}\Big|\Big(\sum_j m_j\Big)+n_2\Big|\times \\ &\qquad \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k-1)}}\prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j}\exp\Big(-\rho|m_j|\Big)\Big(B\exp\Big(-\rho|n_2|\Big)\Big)\,d\tau \\ &\quad\le \frac{B^{k+1}(|\omega|t)^k}{2k!}\sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_{k+1})\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu} : \sum_j m_j=n}\ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}}\prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j}\exp\Big(-\rho|m_j|\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|n||\omega|}{2}\sum_{n_1,n_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu : n_1+n_2=n}\int_0^t |h(\tau,n_2)-c(\tau,n_2)||c(\tau,n_2)|\,d\tau \\ &\quad\le \frac{B^{k+1}(|\omega|t)^k}{2k!}\sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_{k+1})\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu} : \sum_j m_j=n}\ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}}\prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j}\exp\Big(-\rho|m_j|\Big), \end{aligned}$$

and the assertion follows. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $h(t,n), c(t,n)$ be as in Lemma 3.3. With the constant C_0 from Lemma 2.7, we have for $k=1,\dots,$*

$$|h(t,n)-c(t,n)| \le \frac{B^{k+1}(2^{\nu+1}C_0\rho^{-\nu}|\omega|t)^k}{k!}\exp\Big(-\frac{\rho}{2}|n|\Big)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}}\prod_j \alpha_j!\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Due to Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t,n) - c(t,n)| &\le \frac{B^{k+1}(|\omega|t)^k}{k!} \sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_{k+1})\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu}:\sum_j m_j = n} \ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j} \exp\Big(-\rho|m_j|\Big) \\ &\le \frac{B^{k+1}(|\omega|t)^k}{k!} \exp\Big(-\frac{\rho}{2}|n|\Big) \sum_{m=(m_1,\dots,m_{k+1})\in\mathbb{Z}^{(k+1)\nu}:\sum_j m_j = n} \ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{B}^{(k)}} \prod_j |m_j|^{\alpha_j} \exp\Big(-\frac{\rho}{2}|m_j|\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with Lemma 2.7 and with (2.24), we obtain the statement. ☐

Corollary 3.5. *Let $h(t,n), c(t,n)$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Then, $h(t,n) = c(t,n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.4, combined with part 2 of Lemma 2.15 and Stirling's formula. ☐

Corollary 3.6. *Let*

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega), \quad v(t,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} h(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega) \tag{3.10}$$

with $|c(t,n)|, |h(t,n)| \le B\exp(-\rho|n|)$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$, $\rho > 0$. Assume that both u, v obey the KdV equation,

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u\partial_x u = 0, \qquad \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v + v\partial_x v = 0$$

for $0 \le t \le t_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume also $v(0,x) = u(0,x)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, $v(t,x) = u(t,x)$ for $0 < t < \min(t_0, \rho^\nu/(4B2^\nu C_0^\nu|\omega|))$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 3.2, the equations (3.6) hold. Thus, $h(t,n), c(t,n)$ obey the conditions of Lemma 3.3. Therefore the statement follows from Corollary 3.5. ☐

Proof of Theorem A. It follows from Corollary 2.16 that there exists an absolute constant C_2 such that for each $0 < t < \kappa^\nu/(B_0 C_2^\nu|\omega|)$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$, the following limit

$$c^{(0)}(t,n) = \lim_{k\to\infty} c_k(t,n)$$

exists and obeys

$$|c^{(0)}(t,n) - c_{k-1}(t,n)| \le 2B_0^{k+1}(4^{\nu+1}C_1\kappa^{-\nu}|\omega|t)^k \exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa}{4}|n|\Big).$$

It follows from Corollary 2.11 that

$$|c^{(0)}(t,n)| \le 2B_0\exp\Big(-\frac{\kappa|n|}{2}\Big).$$

Using these estimates, one derives from (2.5) the following system of equations for $c^{(0)}(t,n)$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu$:

$$c^{(0)}(t,n) = c(n)\exp(it(n\omega)^3) - \frac{in\omega}{2}\int_0^t \exp(i(t-\tau)(n\omega)^3) \sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu:m_1+m_2=n} c^{(0)}(\tau,m_1)c^{(0)}(\tau,m_2)\,d\tau.$$

Due to Lemma 2.2, the function

$$u = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c^{(0)}(t,n)\exp(ixn\omega)$$

obeys the following differential equation,

$$\partial_t u = -\partial_x^3 u + v,$$

with $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(n)\exp(ixn\omega), \\ v &= -\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu}\ \sum_{m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu:m_1+m_2=n} \frac{i(m_1+m_2)\omega}{2} c^{(0)}(t,m_1)c^{(0)}(t,m_2)\exp(ixn\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $v(t,x) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2(t,x))$. This proves the existence statement in Theorem A.

The uniqueness statement in Theorem A is due to Corollary 3.6. This finishes the proof of the theorem. ☐

Remark 3.7. *It follows from Corollary 2.11 that the derivatives* $\partial_t u, \partial_x^\alpha u$ *are continuous for* $0 \le t \le t_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \le 3$.

Proof of Theorem B. The uniqueness statement in Theorem B follows from the uniqueness statement in Theorem A by standard arguments.

Recall the following fundamental result by Lax; see [Lax]. Let $u(t,x)$ be a function defined for $0 \le t < t_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\partial_x^\alpha u$ exists and is continuous and bounded in both variables for $0 \le \alpha \le 3$. Assume that u obeys the KdV equation

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + u\partial_x u = 0.$$

Consider the Schrödinger operators

$$[H_t\psi](x) = -\psi''(x) + u(t,x)\psi(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.11}$$

Then,

$$\sigma(H_t) = \sigma(H_0) \quad \text{for all } t. \tag{3.12}$$

We now invoke the following statements from [DG], see Theorems A and B in that work. Consider the Schrödinger operator

$$[H\psi](x) = -\psi''(x) + V(x)\psi(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $V(x)$ is a real quasi-periodic function

$$V(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(n)e^{ixn\omega}.$$

Assume that the Fourier coefficients $c(m)$ obey

$$|c(m)| \le \varepsilon \exp(-\kappa_0|m|).$$

Assume that the vector ω satisfies the following Diophantine condition:

$$|n\omega| \ge a_0|n|^{-b_0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu \setminus \{0\} \tag{3.13}$$

with some $0 < a_0 < 1$, $\nu - 1 < b_0 < \infty$.

Then, there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\kappa_0, a_0, b_0) > 0$ such that if $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, the spectrum of H has the following description [DG, Theorems A],

$$\sigma(H) = [E_{\min}, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu\setminus\{0\}:} (E_m^-, E_m^+),$$

where the gaps (E_m^-, E_m^+) obey $E_m^+ - E_m^- \le 2\varepsilon\exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{2}|m|)$ [DG, Theorems B]. Furthermore, there exists $\varepsilon^{(0)} = \varepsilon^{(0)}(\kappa_0, a_0, b_0) > 0$ such that if the gaps (E_m^-, E_m^+) obey $E_m^+ - E_m^- \le \varepsilon\exp(-\kappa|m|)$ with $\varepsilon < \varepsilon^{(0)}$, $\kappa > 4\kappa_0$ then, in fact, the Fourier coefficients $c(m)$ obey $|c(m)| \le \varepsilon^{1/2}\exp(-\frac{\kappa}{2}|m|)$ [DG, Theorems B].

Let

$$u_0(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c_0(n)e^{ixn\omega}.$$

Assume that the vector ω satisfies the Diophantine condition (3.13). Set

$$\varepsilon^{(1)} = \min\left(\frac{\varepsilon_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa_0/8)^4}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon^{(0)}(a_0, b_0, \kappa_0/2)}{4}\right).$$

Assume that the Fourier coefficients $c_0(m)$ obey

$$|c_0(m)| \le \varepsilon^{(1)}\exp(-\kappa_0|m|). \tag{3.14}$$

By Theorem A there exists $t_0 = t_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa_0) > 0$ such that for $0 \le t < t_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, one can define a function

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(t,n)e^{ixn\omega},$$

with $|c(t,n)| \le 2\varepsilon^{(1)} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{2}|n|)$, which obeys equation (1.1) with the initial condition $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$. Moreover, due to Remark 2.3 and Corollary 2.11, the derivatives $\partial_t u, \partial_x^\alpha u$ are continuous for $0 \le t \le t_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \le 3$.

Now assume that for some $T > 0$, one can define a function

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(t,n) e^{ixn\omega}, \quad 0 \le t \le T$$

with $|c(t,n)| \le (\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/4} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{8}|n|)$ for $0 \le t \le T$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$, which obeys equation (1.1) for $0 \le t \le T$ with the initial condition $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$. Moreover, assume that the derivatives $\partial_t u, \partial_x^\alpha u$ are continuous for $0 \le t \le T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \le 3$. As we mentioned, due to Theorem A, such a T exists.

We claim that, in fact, the Fourier coefficients obey $|c(t,n)| \le (2\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/2} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{4}|n|)$. Indeed, let H_t be as in (3.11). Since $(\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/4} < \varepsilon_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa_0/8)$, [DG, Theorem A] applies. Let $(E_m^-(t), E_m^+(t))$ be the gaps in the spectrum of H_t. Then, $(E_m^-(t), E_m^+(t)) = (E_m^-(0), E_m^+(0))$. By [DG, Theorem B] and the assumption (3.14), $E_m^+(0) - E_m^-(0) \le 2\varepsilon^{(1)} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{2}|m|)$. Thus, by (3.12), $E_m^+(t) - E_m^-(t) \le 2\varepsilon^{(1)} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{2}|m|)$. Since $2\varepsilon^{(1)} < \varepsilon^{(0)}(a_0, b_0, \kappa_0/2)$, by [DG, Theorem B] the Fourier coefficients $c(t,m)$ obey $|c(t,m)| \le (2\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/2} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{4}|m|)$, as claimed. Due to Theorem A applied to $u(T,x)$ in the role of the initial condition there exists $t_0 = t_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa_0/4) > 0$ such that for $0 \le t \le t_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, one can define a function

$$\tilde{u}(t,x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} \tilde{c}(t,n) e^{ixn\omega},$$

with $|\tilde{c}(t,n)| \le 2(2\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/2} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{8}|n|) < (\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/4} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{8}|n|)$, which obeys equation (1.1) with the initial condition $\tilde{u}(0,x) = u(T,x)$. Moreover, due to Remark 2.3 and Corollary 2.11, the derivatives $\partial_t \tilde{u}, \partial_x^\alpha \tilde{u}$ are continuous for $0 \le t \le t_0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \le 3$. Consider the extension of the function $u(t,x)$ for $0 \le t \le T_1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by setting $u(t,x) := \tilde{u}(t-T,x)$, $T \le t \le T_1$ with $T_1 = T + t_0$. Then,

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(t,n) e^{ixn\omega}, \quad 0 \le t \le T_1$$

with $|c(t,n)| \le (\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/4} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{8}|n|)$ for $0 \le t \le T_1$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ and it obeys equation (1.1) for $0 \le t \le T$ with the initial condition $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$.

This argument shows that there exists

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu} c(t,n) e^{ixn\omega},$$

with $|c(t,n)| \le (\varepsilon^{(1)})^{1/4} \exp(-\frac{\kappa_0}{8}|n|)$, which obeys the KdV equation (1.1) for all t and also the initial condition $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. ☐

References

[Bo] Bourgain, J. *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. II. The KdV-equation.* Geom. Funct. Anal. **3** (1993), 209–262.

[DG] Damanik, D., Goldstein, M. *On the inverse spectral problem for the quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation.* Preprint, http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/1209.4331.

[De] Deift, P., *Some open problems in random matrix theory and the theory of integrable systems.* Integrable Systems and Random Matrices, 419–430, Contemp. Math. **458**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[KePoVe] Kenig, C., Ponce, G., Vega, L. *Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Korteweg de Vries equation.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 323–347.

[Lax] Lax, P. *Integrals of non-linear equations of evolution and solitary waves.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **21** (1968), 467–490.

[Tsu] Tsugawa, K. *Local well-posedness of KdV equations with quasi-periodic initial data.* SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis. **44** (2012), 3412–3428.

Department of Mathematics at Rice University, 6100 S. Main St. Houston TX 77005-1892, U.S.A.
E-mail address: `damanik@rice.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Bahen Centre, 40 St. George St., Toronto, Ontario, CANADA M5S 2E4
E-mail address: `gold@math.toronto.edu`